

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/524,127	12/01/2005	Oliver Schumacher	0241-PA (142-162 PCT US)	4886
Michael P Dil	7590 09/09/200 worth	9	EXAM	UNER
Crompton Corporation			COONEY, JOHN M	
Benson Road Middlebury, C	T 06749		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
madicoury, c	.1 00/15		1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/524,127	SCHUMACHER, OLIVER				
Examiner	Art Unit				
John Cooney	1796				
	10/524,127 Examiner	10/524,127 SCHUMACHER, OL Examiner Art Unit			

John Cooney 1796						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	ess					
THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. Q The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31. or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, which no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection Examiner Note: (1) box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILE.	١.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	te extension fee action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise me issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the appeal; and/or	e issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling to 						
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is n was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant flass showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance See Continuation Sheet.	e because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
/John Cooney/						
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: rejection is maintained to be proper and has not been overcome by a fact based showing of new or unexpected results that is commensurate in scope with the claims. As to applicants' comments on the preferences of Simone, it is held that the reference shows the influence of alkyl chain length on catalytic activity and one looking to higher activities would be motivated to look to shorter alkyl chain lengths. Simone's preferences for a given chain length does not negate what is fully leught or fairly suggested by Simone's techniques. Simone's preferences do not negate what it provides in its full teachings, and legal error is not evident. As to applicants' comments on results, references to non-fogging/low volatility are not a substitution for a fact based showing of new or unexpected results.